How to write a case brief

A case brief is written as a short summary of the court decision by showing who were the parties of the case when was the case decided, which court passed the final decision, the procedural background of the case, the material facts of the case, issues determined by the court, the legal principle established by the court, the decision of the court and the reasons for that decision.

The following is how you can write a case brief (step by step)

Step 1: Select the structure of your case brief

The first step toward writing your case brief is selecting the structure of your case brief simply because the exact form of your briefs may and can vary from case to case.

By selecting the structure I mean that before writing your brief you must sort the essential components of the case that must be included in your brief.

Therefore this step involves two major activities, the first is to tenuliarise yourself with the basic contents of the case brief (covered in step 3), and the second is to sort the contents that will suit your case brief.

For example, a student may ask the instructor to ensure that the structure you have chosen is acceptable.

Regardless of the structure, every brief should include the following information in step 3.

Selecting the structure will help you understand the case and recall the needed information.

Do you have a structure? you are ready for the second step.

step 2: read the whole judgment first

To appropriately summarize the case, you must read it attentively and thoroughly.

Reading the whole case will help you understand the whole scenario and identify what is relevant to your case brief and what is not.

While reading the whole case you must pay attention to the facts of the case, issues, ruling and the rule established by the court.

I recommend you read the full judgment twice. The first time you get the big picture and the second time you focus on the important details.

after you have read the case for the second time, now you are ready to brief it.

step 3: Formating your brief

Generally, a case brief consists of nine major parts that are; heading, Parties, facts, procedural history, Issues, Rule, Analysis, Holding, and suggment. the other optional parts include Concurring/Dissenting Opinions of the judge your Personal reaction and obiter dictum.

Here I will use the case of **Lucy v. Zehmer** as an example to show you exactly how you can write your case brief.

You may read its case brief here or access the full decision through this link

Let's dive right in.

Heading

This is the first part of your case brief. It shows the citation of the case.

The heading of your case brief must include, the name of the parties, year of decision, citation from the case reports book, and the court deciding the case (where necessary the name of the Judge).

for example Lucy v. Zehmer – 196 Va, 493, 84 S.E.2d 516 (1954) Decided on November 22, 1954 by Archibald C. Buchanan, Supreme Court of Virginia Parties Parties referees to the Individuals who are involved in the case. The role of the parties vares from case to case. In <u>civil cases</u>, the one who sues is called the nain tiff while the one who sued is referred to as the defendant while parties involved in a criminal case are republic/ state versus the individual who refers to as accused or defendant.

Also in a civil petition or application, the party who files the petition is called the **petitioner/ applicant**, and the one who replies is called the **respondent**.

In <u>Appeal</u> the part who appeal is referred to as **Appellant** and the one who replies is called **respondent or appellee**.

So in writing your case brief you must clearly show who is a plaintiff/petitioner/appellant and who is defendant/respondent/appellee.

for example;

Parties

W.O Lucy is a Appellant and A.H Zehmer is a Respondent.

Procedural History

This part shows the disposition of the case from the laser court to the court in which you're brief its judgment.

In your procedural history, you must clearly indicate the decision of the lower court, who appealed to the higher court, and the reason for appeal thereof.

for example

Procedural History

Appellant was dissatisfied with the decision of the Circuit Court of Dinwiddle County, by Judge Hon J. G. Jefferson, Jr who decided in the favour of the respondent that there was no valid contract between the parties.

The Appellant appealed to the Supreme Court of Virginia on the ground that the respondent has already accepted his offer so he can not refuse it, The Supreme Court Virginia reversed and remanded the decision of the trial court.

Facts

This part explains the most important story of the case.

To write your facts clearly, explain all the relevant people, cause of action, locations, and objects involved.

Avoid rewriting everything.

It's okay to summarise the facts in your own words.

Where necessary provide information on which courts have already heard the case. Consider how the matter has progressed through the legal system and what the court's previous decisions have been.

In their Introduction to case briefing guide, the <u>Northwestern Pritzker School of Law</u> states the facts section is the crucial part of the case brief, it should aim to accomplish three things; i) *Make clear who the parties are* ii) *Tell the story briefly rather than just list facts* and iii) *Keep your focus on the facts that determine the outcome*.

JAR

FRIA

- 2. Facts. This is a critical part of the brief. The facts determine the legal issue about which the plaintiff can sue, and they determine the rule the court will choose to apply in order to arrive at a resolution. Your fact section should aim to accomplish three things.
 - a. Make clear who the parties are. It can be confusing if you just write "plaintiff" and "defendant," so include more details, such as "Seller (Rogan Corp.—plaintiff)" or "the appellee, Thompson (the buyer)".

1

- b. Tell the story briefly rather than just list facts. This helps you remember the details and puts the rule into context. If the order of events matters, make a timeline. Don't rewrite the fact section. A few brief sentences should almost always suffice to summarize the key facts in a usable narrative.
- c. Keep your focus on the *facts that determine the outcome*. If the event in question happened on Tuesday, but that fact was not relevant to the outcome of the case, then it can be ignored. This means that you have to figure out, before you brief, which facts were the relevant ones upon which the judge based her decision.

When you are asked to "state the case" in class, your goal is to cover these three points: tell the story of what happened clearly but succinctly, are Wi concentrating on the facts that determined the outcome, and clearly Settings identifying the parties.

A screenshot from the Northwestern Pritzker School of Law guide

You may read the complete guide here

For example

Facts

The Respondent and his wife Ida S. Zehmer owned the piece of land measuring 471.6 acres.

W. O. Lucy, the Appellant, had known Zehmer for a long time and had shown interest in purchasing the farm but his prior attempts to purchase it proved futile.

On December 20, 1952, With a bottle of whiskey in his hand, Lucy entered Zehmer's restaurant.

They drink together and discussed the possibility of purchasing the land.

After the discussion, Zehmer wrote on the back of the restaurant's receipt. "We thus agree to sell the farm entirely to W. O. Lucy for \$50,000.00," and he signed the note with his wife.

Zehmer later said that his wife originally refused to sign the instrument when he asked her to, but she eventually agreed when Zehmer persuaded her that his intention to sell the farm was only a joke.

The next day, Lucy discussed the acquisition with his brother J.C. Lucy, and he engaged an attorney to investigate the title.

After assuring Lucy that the title was clear, the attorney wrote Zehmer a letter inquiring when he planned to finish the sale.

Zehmer responded that he never planned to sell the land and that the message signed by him and his wife was written in jest.

Appellant sued Resposition for specific performance of a contract to purchase the farm in the Circuit Court of Dinwiddle County which decided in the defendant's favor, hence this appeal.

Issues

Issues refer to the point of determining which court considers its decision.

Here you must clearly identify the point of law in dispute and the key facts of the case relating to that point of law in dispute.

There is no minimum or a maximum number of issues, that will purely depend on the nature of the case.

The goal is to focus on the main issues only.

To properly ascertain the main issues you may ask yourself *what is the plaintiff's claim against the defendant?* or *What is the appealing party claiming the lower court did wrong?*

You may write your issue as a statement or question like *was there a valid contract*? or *whether there is a valio contract.*

for example Issues Was there a valid contract?

Is the specific performance a proper remedy?

Rule

This part shows the legal principle that the court uses to determine the case.

The court may derive rule of law from the statute, equity, and precedent and if there is a lacuna, a court may create a new rule, for example, in the case of <u>Rylands v Fletcher</u> the court established the *strict liability rule*.

The rule or legal principle may be expressly stated in the decision or it may be implied.

The rule forms the base of the court decision.

To get it clearly, you must ask yourself, *what was the principle that the court applied to reach its decision?*

for example

Rule

The contract is nevertheless enforceable if one of the parties has a reasonable belief that the other party intends to enter into the agreement while he does not.

Analysis/ Reasoning

This party covers the court analysis of the issues as far as legal principles are concerned.

The court's reasoning refers to how the court applied the rules/legal principles to the circumstances of the case in order to reach a decision. This involves both logical applications of rules and policy arguments used by the court to support its judgment.

for example

Analysis

In reaching its decision, the appellate court was highly focused on the intention and belief of the Plaintiff and not the defendant.

In this case, the court is departing from the required "meeting of the minds" standard for a valid contract.

Holding

This part shows the court's response to the issue raise

The holding is always the positive (yes) or negative (no) statement to the issues

for example

Holding

In relation to the first issue, the answer is Yes.

The court held that there was a vail contract between the parties.

The court observed that Defendant was not so inebriated that he was oblivious to the nature and effects of the instrument he used.

The circumstances surrounding the purchase led Lucy to believe it was a real business transaction rather than a joke.

In relation to the second issue, the answer is Yes.

The court held that specific performance was the proper remedy for the plaintiff.

Judgment

Judgment is the court's final decision on the parties' rights, as well as the court's response to a relief request.

The judgment is usually found at the end of the opinion, it is delivered using words like affirm, remand, reverse, allowed, granted, or reverse with instructions, etc.

for example

Judgment

The decision of the trial court was reversed and remanded.

In most cases, Judgement should be your last part of the case brief, but in other cases, you may include the following optional components in your case brief

Concurring/Dissenting Opinions of the judge (optional)

This part is relevant when a judge hears a case, he or she may disagree with the majority's ruling and write a dissenting opinion, or when another judge agrees with the result but not with the majority's rationale and write the concurring opinion.

You should take note of the reasons why the concurring/dissenting judge(s) did not join the majority opinion and put them in this section.

Personal/Public reaction (optional)

This part is necessary when you have your personal reaction regarding the decision of the case.

What are your thoughts on and criticisms of the decision? Is there anything you like? Dislike? What are the similarities and differences between this case and the others

you've read? Do not take the court's decision at face value. Examine the logic in each situation. Is it in good condition? Is it in any way contradictory? What are the ramifications of this decision on the political, economic, and social levels?

Obiter dictum (optional)

Obiter dictum is the Latin word that means other things said in English.

In law, obiter dictum refers to a statement, suggestion, or observation made by a judge in an opinion that is not required to settle the issue and therefore is not legally binding on other courts but can nonetheless be used as persuasive authority in future litigation.

A dissenting judgment may also be referred to as obiter dictum.

You may learn more about the obiter dictum here

But for now, let's move to the last step.

step 4: Check if your brief makes sense

Now you have your case brief it is time to review it to see it it makes sense.

Your case brief will be termed to make sense only if it covers all essential components of the full case.

To check it, you must ask yourself these questions,

- 1. *can someone understand the whole case based on this brief without referring to the full decision?*
- 2. *is there a logical flow of components? i.e from facts to issues to holding and judgment?*

3. is there a relationship and interconnection between the components?

If you answer all the questions in the affirmative, then your case brief makes sense.

If not, review and amend the areas that appear illogical and irrelevant.

